









隋

NORWIC

に

NORWIC

2

Dissenting Views

- - Designed to increase sales of hardware, software and consulting services
- Personal attacks on early promulgators of information warfare doctrine
 - Controversial figure: Winn Schwartau
 - □Author of novel *Terminal Compromise*
 - □Nonfiction Information Warfare and
 - Cybershock texts
 Lampooned as wild-eyed
 - self-publicist
 - □Actually a committed security expert



Goals and Objectives

- ➤ Military
- Government
- Transportation
- ≻ Commerce
- Financial Disruptions
- Medical Security



- International &
- Corporate Espionage
- Communications
- Economic Infrastructure















降

NOR

Hacktivists (3)

- 2004: Electronic Disturbance Theater launched DoS on conservative Web sites during Republican National Convention
- 2008: Project Chanology launched against Church of Scientology
- 2008: Chinese hackers attacked CNN Web sites to protest Western media bias
- 2009: much Web-defacement activity during attack by Israel on Gaza



唐

NORWIC

Hacktivists (4)

- Anonymous (Anon) 2003 – 4chan board No leaders
 - □Focus on defending Wikileaks in 2010-2011
- Attacked Church of Scientology
 QUESTION: doing good or not?



唐

Guy Fawkes Mask

Criminals (1)

- Stock manipulation: pump 'n' dump schemes DNEI Webworld pump-and-dump (Nov 1999)
 - □2 UCLA grad students & associate bought almost all shares of bankrupt NEI Webworld company
 - Using many different pseudonyms, posted >500 messages praising company
 - Also pretended to be company interested in acquisition
 - Within 1 day stock value increased from \$0.13 to \$15 per share
 - □Made ~\$364K profit







See CSH6 Chapter 18 for ample details

NORWIC

に

NORWIC

NORWIG

Malicious Code

- Terminology:
 - □Viruses, worms, Trojan horses ✓ See CSH6 Chapter 16
 - □Mobile code such as Java, ActiveX, VBscript ✓See CSH6 Chapter 17
- > Malware widespread
 - □In 1980s & 1990s used by individuals
 - In 1990s & 2000s increasingly used by organized crime
 - Significant evidence of state-run malware research and development

Cryptography

Cryptography used in military operations for millennia NORV

- Cracking ciphertext top priority for governments and criminals
 - □Parallel processing
- Ultra-high-speed computers (teraflops)
- Debate about international traffic in strong cryptography □International Traffic in Arms Regulation
 - (ITAR) of US restricts export
 - Critics regard ITAR application to cryptography as pointless

PSYOP (1)

- Psychological operations = PSYOP
 Planned psychological activities
 Directed to enemy, friendly, neutral audiences
 To influence emotions, motives, attitudes, objective reasoning & behaviors
 In ways favorable to originator
- Targets at all levels (individuals, groups, organizations, military, civilian)
- ➤ Goals

Reduce morale & combat efficiency among enemy
 Promote dissension & defection among enemy
 Support deception operations by friendlies
 Promote cooperation, unit, morale in friendlies



Physical Attacks

- Sep 11, 2001 attacks had noticeable effects on information infrastructure
- Backhoe attacks facilitated by warning signs about where not to dig – indicate communications trunks
- Undersea cables susceptible to sabotage
- International prevalence of car bombings, suicide bombings & IEDs (improvised explosive devices) causing rethinking about weapons of cyberwar
- Increased attempts to secure civilian infrastructure
- But much of public policy described as security theater (after Bruce Schneier) by critics



NORWICH

に

NORWIC

Weapons Inadvertently Provided

- Vulnerabilities in software systems open nation to cyberwar
 - Bad software design (see RISKS FORUM DIGEST)
 - □Poor software quality assurance
 - □Rush to market of incompletely tested software
- See CSH6 Chapters
 - □38 Writing Secure Code
 - □39 Software Development & Quality Assurance
 - 40 Managing Software Patches & Vulnerabilities

Defenses

- Legal Defenses
- > Forceful Defenses
- > Technical Defenses
- > In-Kind Counterattacks
 - □Problematic because of address spoofing □Not certain where attacks originate
- Could attack wrong target
- Cooperative Efforts

Legal Defenses

- International legal system ineffective vs infowar Information warfare not prohibited under UN charter
 - (except if it causes death or property damage)

 Little or no police power to enforce few laws that exist
 governing infowar
 - Sovereignty trumps law in cross-border communications
 - □No major powers have pressed to international laws or treaties to govern infowar
 - Politics may override legal judgement
 - □Power of criminals supersedes legal systems
 - □Identifying source of attacks difficult
 - □Technology advances faster than laws
- > Not likely to see legal defenses used against cyberattack

Forceful Defenses

- Barriers to the use of force
- US increasingly reluctant to use force without international support

唐

に

NORW

NORW

- □Identity of attackers may be unclear
- □Spoofing may lead to misidentification
- Difficult to characterize specific incident as cyberattack, error, accident, or malfunction
- Attackers may not be state actors cannot launch war against criminals, activists, individuals
- UN doctrine limits reactions to proportional response
- > Thus unlikely to see forceful response to cyberattack

Technical Defenses

- All the technical defenses used in protecting computers and networks against individual attack can be used in cyberdefense
- Entire contents of CSH6 apply to cyberwarfare defense
- Constant attention to evolving vulnerabilities and threats
- Special value for INTEL and COINTEL activities Intelligence to track state and non-state
 - actors; e.g., infiltration, monitoring Internet chatter
 - Counterintelligence to identify spies and saboteurs

In-Kind Counterattacks

- Problematic because of address spoofing
 Not certain where attacks originate
 Could attack wrong target
- Recent incidents have been inconclusive
 - □Israelis vs Arabs
 - □Taiwan vs PRC
 - □Kashmir vs India
 - □Serbs vs Albanians
 - □PRC vs USA
- Fundamental asymmetry of attacker/defender makes counterattacks in kind futile



6



