

に

NORWIC

1

NORWIC

Introduction to Patch & Vulnerability Management

Vulnerabilities

□Flaws

□Can be exploited by malicious entities

□Obtain unauthorized access / privileges

Patches

□Code to fix flaws / bugs □Can add functionality □Or repair flaws



May lag behind vulnerability disclosures

> Patch & vulnerability management

□Systematic processes to prevent *exploits*



Why Use Automated Patching Solutions (2)

Manual monitoring for new patches and applying may be labor intensive

□E.g., 10 min/day

- □10 min/patch per workstation
- □... and these costs may add up...
- □Yet still remain cheaper than disaster
- However, automated patching can be more cost effective
 - □Automatically attend to new patches
 - Deploy them across entire network
 - □Also more reliable and quicker than manual





| | :om/business/client- | management-suit | e | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| symantec. Confide | nce in a connected world. | | | United States 🥳 🛱 🖬 St | | NORWICI |
| Norton Business | Partners Store | About Symante | | | | |
| Iverview Solutions 🕅 | oducts Services Tra | ining Support I | lecurity Response | Resources Community | | |
| Symantes com - Business - | Producta - Businese Continu | ty - Olert Management I | lute | | | |
| Altiris Client Maa Attris Client Management he total cost of owning di redundant tasks to minim securing and troubleshoo environment. | nagement Suit Suite tightly integrates in ent systems. The suite iz efforts and costs ass ling client systems so yo | e dustry-leading techn automates time-cons ociated with deployin su can gain control of Bay | ologies to redu uming and g. managing, ' your IT | CB Q Triatware SB Purchasing Data Sheet (PDF) Use | | |
| | Key Features | | Non Desertion | | | |
| Features Bysiam Requirements New Features Add-ana Tradiate Data Beasts Antoles & Reviews Polosasis Pease Releases | Key Features Comprehensive Citi Invertednet - Oprimie support end users. a sessioned with OS af rollouts. Indicutry Laading In Rodow the time ass clouing FCs. Rodow realimented on the time session of the session realiment of the session admitted on the session opping agrowed. Indicate the session of the admitted of the session opping and the session opping and the session and mendating disk | and Discovery and software locates, better of reduce costs epolyments and software ocated with imaging and support and y implying standarilled, y implying standarilled, and Patch and Patch and Statutes - Observed y and remotely. | Key Benefits - Intrease visib system - Depty Winde the latest ver - Provision appl deptyment. L packages tress - Trobleshote a systeme size problems with - Redues neego throughout the | By with a samplehearies investory ex, Max, and Lines with a complete ratios and and use with free mer- and and the samplehear of the samplehear of the samplehear of the organization without loang manager organization without loang manager | of all hardware and software touch nee insigning and provin to and views. In sum of the and views are corporate standard confin an anargement capabilities. R or sare real-time systems ma Co By using intuitive power m bitry. | for exit sioning set soft ti-free s amate o nageme |





Patch & Vulnerability Management Process

- Recommended Process
- Creating a System Inventory
- Monitoring for Vulnerabilities, Remediations & Threats
- Prioritizing Vulnerability Remediation
- Creating Organization-Specific Remediation DB
- Testing Remediations
- Deploying Vulnerability Remediations
- Distributing Vulnerability & Remediation Info to Admins
- Verifying Remediation
- Vulnerability Remediation Training



NORWICE

NORWIC

唐

NORWIC

Overview of Process

- > Create central, autonomous group for managing patches and vulnerabilities
- > May have single PVG or several in hierarchy
- > Shift patch administrators from sysadmins to PVG
 - □Reduce duplication of effort
 - □Save money
 - □Reduce errors
- > Use standardized configurations for workstations and servers to degree possible
- Keep careful track of inventory and topology

NORWIC **Patch & Vulnerability Group** (PVG)

- > Define PVG to include INFOSEC + OPS **□Sysadmin**
 - Intrusion detection
 - Firewall management
 - Operating systems experts
- □Vulnerability scanners
- > May be full- or part-time depending on size, complexity of organization & systems
- May rotate staff into group to spread knowledge

PVG Duties

- 1. Create system inventory
- 2. Monitor for vulnerabilities, remediations & threats
- 3. Prioritize vulnerability remediation
- 4. Create organization-specific remediation database
- 5. Conduct generic testing of remediations
- 6. Deploy vulnerabilities remediations
- 7. Distribute vulnerability & remediation information to local system administrators
- 8. Perform automated deployment of patches
- 9. Configure automatic update of applications wherever possible & appropriate
- 10. Verify vulnerability remediation through NW & host vulnerability scanning
- 11. Vulnerability remediation training

語 **System Administrators** NORWIC (Sysadmins) > Responsible for ensuring that IT resources follow standard configuration defined for organization > Ensure that resources participate in automated patching system > Or if using manual patching, coordinate with PVG Handle exceptions

- □Trial systems
- DExperimental configurations
- □Prototypes under development



NORWICH

Creating a System Inventory

- Essential to know exactly what needs protection
- > IT Inventory
 - Update constantly / real-time
 - □Usually prefer organization-wide DB
 - □Suggested fields on p. 40-7
 - □Preferably use automated inventory agents
 - □Also use bar codes on all components
 - ✓ Systems, peripherals....
 - ✓ Cabling
 - ✓ Network elements (routers, switches...)

Grouping & Prioritizing IT Resources

- > Elements in inventory need priority levels
- Reflect degree of criticality
- □Impact of compromise
- Dependencies critical patch for recovery
- > Can use FIPS PUB 199
 - Standards for Security Categorization of Federal Information and Information Systems
 - □See next slide

3



| NIST CSRC: FIPS 199 | | | | | | |
|---------------------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Number | Date | Title | | | | |
| FIPS 2011 | Mar 2006 | Personal Identity Verification (PIV) of Federal Employees and Contractors Prips201-1-chng1.pdf | | | | |
| FIPS 200 | Mar 2006 | Minimum Security Requirements for Federal Information and Information Systems Part FIPS-200-final-march.pdf | | | | |
| FIPS 199 | Feb 2004 | Standards for Security Categorization of Federal Information and Information Systems | | | | |
| FIPS 1981 | Jul 2008 | The Keyed-Hash Message Authentication Code (HMAC) <u>FIPS-198-1 final.pdf</u> | | | | |



1

NORWIC

Tools for Monitoring Vulnerabilities, Remediations & Threats

- Vendor Websites & mailing lists (productspecific newsletters)
- > Third-party Web sites (e.g., SANS, CERT-CC®, various alert newsletters)
- Vulnerability scanners
- Vulnerability databases
 - □National Vulnerability Database
- Enterprise patch management tools
- Other notification tools









- Determine risks involved in applying patch or nonpatch remediation
 - □Use external sources of information
 - □PVG is not a research group

Creating Organization-Specific Remediation DB > Enterprise patch-management tools establish DB for known inventory in organization

- May have to maintain own small DB or manual list of exceptions
- Include threat assessment information
 Useful in business-continuity (BC) & disaster recovery planning (DRP)
- Include copy of every patch used or planned □Can avoid problems of rush on Website

Testing Remediations

- Standardized configurations allow easy testing of patches on isolated systems
 - Keep standard for test & development only
 Avoid danger of installing bad patch on production systems
- Eliminate need for redundant, costly testing on all local systems
- But critically important to maintain validity of system image so that patches really going to standard configs!
- See extensive list of precautions for testing on pp 40.12 – 40.13 of text



1

NORWIG

隋

NORWIC

Delaying Patch Installations

- Some patches have been discovered to be defective
 - Caused more problems than they solved □Therefore some admins are gun-shy: delay
- installation of patches by reflex PVG should document, analyze & discuss
- deviations from recommended installations □Threat level
- □Risk of compromise □Consequences of compromise





- > Primary mechanism: automated patch management software (PMS)
- > Emergencies (e.g., failure of PMS) may require alternative channels
 - □Plan for these backup channels in advance □Maintain security
 - **Establish authenticity of** patch instruction
 - □Establish authenticity &
 - integrity of patch itself





1

NORW

Patch & Vulnerability Management Issues

> Enterprise Patching Solutions

\$40.4 is beyond the level expected for an undergraduate IA mamt course.

- □Types of Patching Solutions ✓Nonagent Patching Solutions
 - ✓Agent-Based Patching Solutions
 - Advantages & Disadvantages
- □Integrated SW Inventory Capabilities
- Integrated Vulnerability Scanning Capabilities Deployment Strategies
- Reducing Need to Patch by Smart Purchasing
- > Using Standardized Configurations
- Patching After Security Compromise

Summary of Major NORWIC **Recommendations (1)** 1. Create patch & vulnerability group

- 2. Continuously monitor for vulnerabilities, remediations & threats
- 3. Prioritize patch application & use phased deployments as appropriate
- 4. Test patches prior to deployment
- 5 Deploy enterprise-wide automated patching solutions
- 6. Use automatically updating applications as appropriate
- 7. Create inventory of all IT assets

時

NORWICH

Summary of Major Recommendations (2)

- 8. Use standardized configurations for IT resources as much as possible
- 9. Verify that vulnerabilities have been remediated.
- 10. Consistently measure effectiveness of organization's patch & vulnerability management program and apply corrective actions as necessary
- 11. Train applicable staff on vulnerability monitoring and remediation techniques
- 12. Periodically test effectiveness of organization's patch and vulnerability management program.

Now go and study

NORWICH