SOFTWARE QUALITY ASSURANCE

John Abbott College JPC **Program Inspections, Walkthroughs & Reviews**

M. E. Kabay, PhD, CISSP Director of Education, NCSA President, JINBU Corp

Copyright © 1997 JINBU Corp. All rights reserved

Human Testing

- Highly effective
 - apply after analysis/design
 - before coding
 - catch errors early = cheaper & better correction
- Inspections
- Walkthroughs
- Desk Checking
- Peer Rating

- Gerald M. Weinberg (1971). The Psychology of Computer Programming. Van Nostrand Reinhold (New York). ISBN 0-442-29264-3. xv + 288. Index.
- Team approach
 - moderator
 - programmer
 - designer
 - QA specialist
- Synergy

- Purpose:
 - find errors
 - find reasons errors were made
 - not to fix the errors right then
- Effective
 - find 30%-70% of all errors found by end of testing process
 - complementary to machine-execution testing
- Especially effective for testing modifications

Moderator

- Competent programmer
- Not author of program
- Distributes materials for inspection
- Facilitates session
- Records results
- Manages repair later

Narration

- Programmer explains every line of code
- Focus on branch points and operations
- Other members question logic
- Suggest exceptions
- Identify errors
- Do not allow programmer to correct errors during session
 - Alternative view: bug-fixing leads to further analysis

- Prevent interruptions
- Limit sessions to 90-120 minutes
- Average speed 150 3GL statements/hour
 - 4GL may have fewer statements/hour
- Expect repeated sessions

Psychological Issues

- Defensiveness is a disaster
 - Adopt ego-less attitude
 - Pride in identifying errors
- Results should be confidential
 - Do not allow management to use #errors as metric of programmer quality
- Other Benefits
 - Improved programming style in group
 - Identify error-prone sections

Walkthroughs

The Walkthrough Team

- Moderator
- Secretary
- Expert programmer
- Language expert
- Novice
- Maintenance programmer
- Programmer from another project

Walkthroughs

- Distribute materials in advance
- Play Computer
- Use prepared set of test cases
- Mark state of memory etc. on paper or in spreadsheet(s)
- Test cases are merely framework for questions
- Discussions with programmer most productive

Desk Checking

- Ineffective for most people
- We see what we expect program to do
- Schema influences perception
- Trading programs marginally better

Peer Rating

- Not a program testing-method
- Good example of Continuous Improvement or TQM
- Choose examples of best and worse code
- Distribute two anonymous samples at random
- Share analysis and commentary
- Fosters positive attitude towards improvement

Homework

- Read Chapters 1, 2 and 14 from your textbook
- Answer all the review questions distributed by the instructor
 - Avoid copying the textbook blindly -- you will not remember as much as if you think about the answers yourself
 - Use simple language; usually a few words or sentences will be ample
- Submit your work by 09:00 tomorrow morning.
- Because of the short time available, do not be late in submitting your review answers