

# **SOFTWARE QUALITY ASSURANCE**

**John Abbott College JPC**

## ***Test-Case Design***

**M. E. Kabay, PhD, CISSP  
Director of Education, NCSA  
President, JINBU Corp**

**Copyright © 1997 JINBU Corp. All rights reserved**

# **Test-Case Design**

- **Design Philosophy**
- **Equivalence class analysis**
- **Boundary analysis**
- **Testing state transitions**
- **Testing race conditions and other time dependencies**
- **Function-equivalence testing**
- **Regression testing**
- **Error-guessing**

# **Test-Case Design Philosophy**

- **Complete testing is impossible**
- **Therefore define subset of test cases likely to detect most (or at least many) errors**
- **Intuitive approach is “random-input testing”**
  - **sit at terminal**
  - **invent test data at random**
  - **see what happens**
  - **worst possible approach**

# Equivalence Partitioning

- “A group of tests forms an equivalence class if you believe that:
  - They all test the same thing.
  - If one test catches a bug, the others probably will, too.
  - If one test doesn't catch a bug, the others probably won't either.”  
-- p. 126
- Subjective process
- Goal is to reduce many redundant tests to a smaller number giving same information
- Focus especially on invalid inputs

# **Equivalence Partitioning**

**Must first identify the equivalence classes**

- Range: below, within, above**
- Number: fewer, valid, higher**
- Set: all members & 1 non-member**
- Requirement (set of 1): valid & invalid**
- On doubt, split class**

# **Equivalence Partitioning**

**Then define specific test cases**

- **At least one test case for every valid equivalence class**
- **At least one test case for every invalid equivalence class**
- **See Figure 7.1, p. 127 in text**

# **Boundary-Value Analysis**

- **Cases at boundaries have high value for testing**
- **Select cases just below, at and just above limits of each equivalency class**
- **Some testers include mid-range value as well just for additional power of test**

# Testing State Transitions

- Every change in output is a state transition
- Test every option in every menu
- If possible, test every pathway to every option in every menu
- Interactions among paths
  - draw menu maps
  - identify multiple ways of reaching every state
  - keep careful records of what you test (can get confusing)



# **Testing Race Conditions and Other Time Dependencies**

- **Check different speeds of input**
- **Try to disrupt state transitions (e.g, press keys while program switches menus)**
- **Challenge program just before and just after time-out periods**
- **Apply heavy load to cause failures (not just poor performance)**

# **Function-Equivalence Testing**

- **Use a program that produces known-good output**
- **Feed same inputs to both the standard program and the program under test**
- **Compare the outputs**
- **Automated testing techniques can help**
  - **for numerical and alphanumerical output**
  - **for real-time process-control applications**

# **Regression Testing**

- **Did the bug get fixed?**
  - **Some programmers patch symptom**
  - **Few test effectively**
- **Check that you can produce bug at will in bad version of code**
- **Use same tests on revised code**
  - **Stop if bug reappears**
  - **Push the testing if bug seems to have been fixed**

# Error Guessing

- Need intuitive grasp of what is likely to go wrong in a program
- Look at typically difficult cases (e.g., wrong number of parameters)
- Examine cases that are not explicitly defined in specifications (assumptions by programmer)